

Duquesne University

Duquesne Scholarship Collection

Hallowed Secularism

The Collective Works of Bruce Ledewitz, Adrian
Van Kaam C.S.Sp. Endowed Chair in Scholarly
Excellence and Professor of Law

2-10-2016

February 10, 2016: Is Trump Back? No. But What About Clinton?

Bruce Ledewitz

Duquesne University, ledewitz@duq.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/ledewitz-hallowedsecularism>



Part of the [Constitutional Law Commons](#), and the [Law and Philosophy Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Ledewitz, B. (2016). February 10, 2016: Is Trump Back? No. But What About Clinton?. Retrieved from <https://dsc.duq.edu/ledewitz-hallowedsecularism/995>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the The Collective Works of Bruce Ledewitz, Adrian Van Kaam C.S.Sp. Endowed Chair in Scholarly Excellence and Professor of Law at Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Hallowed Secularism by an authorized administrator of Duquesne Scholarship Collection. For more information, please contact beharyr@duq.edu.

Title: Is Trump Back? No. But What About Clinton?

Date: 2016-02-10T06:17:00.001-05:00

2/10/2016—The results of the New Hampshire Primary are in. When the dust settles, I still reject the idea that Trump is for real. But we'll see. The problem is that Rubio did so badly. So, Trump still gets to lead a fractured field. Trump only got 35% of the vote and he is no one's second choice. Eventually, the field contracts and he loses. But what about Clinton? Her showing was so bad that another person would drop out. Is there time for someone else to enter? Probably not. We're not going to nominate Sanders. So I guess it will still be Clinton. But a large part of the Party does not want her. That's obvious. I am not demeaning Sanders, but he is a well known neighbor and it is a very good state for him--white and a liberal Democratic Party. This victory does not translate. The problem is Clinton. Her weakness does translate. Even into November.