

1977

Table of Contents - Volume 16, Number 2, 1977-1978

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents - Volume 16, Number 2, 1977-1978, 16 Duq. L. Rev. v (1977).

Available at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol16/iss2/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

Duquesne Law Review

Volume 16, Number 2, 1977-1978

© COPYRIGHT DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY, 1978

Articles

OPINION WRITERS AND LAW REVIEW WRITERS: A COMMUNITY AND CONTINUITY OF APPROACH

Ruggero J. Aldisert 139

The author identifies the function of the modern American law review as an integral check on the courts' law-making function. For any critique to be valid, however, there must be a continuity between the writer and the reviewer. The author outlines rules both writers and reviewers should follow.

THE ENTRAPMENT DEFENSE — WHAT HATH THE MODEL PENAL CODE WROUGHT?

James T. Ranney 157

The defense of entrapment is unique since the defendant necessarily concedes the commission of a criminal offense. After discussing the opposing theories of entrapment, the author analyzes the Model Penal Code's standards of entrapment as recently adopted by Pennsylvania.

THE REQUIREMENT OF CONCURRENT MAJORITIES IN A CHARTER REFERENDUM: THE SUPREME COURT'S RETREAT FROM VOTING EQUITY

Philip L. Martin 167

The United States Supreme Court recently upheld a New York law requiring that any changes in county government must be approved by a majority of city residents and a majority of noncity dwellers. The author discusses the case and concludes that its result is inconsistent with the "one person-one vote" concept.

THE PLRB'S NEW JURISDICTION FOR POLICE AND FIREMEN

Kurt H. Decker 185

The author examines a recent Pennsylvania Supreme Court case conferring jurisdiction upon the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board to conduct policemen and firemen representation elections. The article notes that the decision did not define the parameters of the PLRB's new jurisdiction and explores possible ranges of jurisdiction that may result.

COMMENT

JUDICIAL REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION IN PENNSYLVANIA: AN UPDATED LOOK AT REVIEWABILITY AND STANDING

201

The author discusses the concepts of reviewability and standing as they apply to parties seeking judicial review of administrative action in Pennsylvania. Recent statutory and supreme court rule changes which have broadened the availability of judicial review in Pennsylvania are examined and offered as examples to be followed by states having less expansive systems of review. The author also examines the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's standing decision in *William Penn* and attempts to gauge its potential impact.

Recent Decisions

FEDERAL COURTS—DIVERSITY OF CITIZENSHIP JURISDICTION—LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS—*Carlsberg Resources Corp. v. Cambria Savings and Loan Association*, 554 F.2d 1254 (3d Cir. 1977).

221

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DUE PROCESS—STATE COURT JURISDICTION—QUASI IN REM PROCEEDINGS—*Shaffer v. Heitner*, 97 S. Ct. 2569 (1977).

237

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—FIRST AMENDMENT—ESTABLISHMENT CLAUSE—STATE AID TO NONPUBLIC SCHOOLCHILDREN—*Wolman v. Walter*, 97 S. Ct. 2593 (1977).

253

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—SIXTH AMENDMENT—RIGHT TO CO. .SEL—CONFIDENTIALITY OF ATTORNEY-CLIENT CONSULTATION—*Weatherford v. Bursey*, 429 U.S. 545 (1977).

269

The Duquesne Law Review is published quarterly at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Editorial Offices: 901 Rockwell Hall, 600 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219.

Subscriptions: \$10.00 per year. Subscriptions are automatically renewed unless otherwise stipulated.

Single Issues: \$3.50 per copy.

Citations conform to *A Uniform System of Citation* (12th ed. 1976).

Readers are invited to submit manuscripts to the editors of the *Duquesne Law Review*.

Views expressed in articles published in this periodical are to be attributed solely to the authors thereof, and not to the periodical, its editors, or to Duquesne University.

When authors of articles published herein are known to have other than a scholarly interest in their writings, that fact will be discussed above the first footnote where the educational and professional background of the author is noted.