

1990

Comments - Titles, Volume 28 (1989-1990)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Comments - Titles, Volume 28 (1989-1990), 28 Duq. L. Rev. xxxii (1990).

Available at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol28/iss4/20>

This Additional Content is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

COMMENTS—TITLES

A

ALLOCATION OF TAX PAYMENTS UNDER CHAPTER 11 REORGANIZATIONS—WHO WILL DECIDE: IRS OR BANKRUPTCY COURTS?	677
ANTITRUST LIABILITY IN THE CONTEXT OF MEDICAL PEER REVIEW: THE IMPLICATIONS OF <i>Patrick v. Burget</i> AND THE HEALTH CARE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1986	577

C

COMMUNITY EFFECTS AS A FACTOR IN CORPORATE DECISIONS UNDER PENNSYLVANIA'S NEW BUSINESS CORPORATION LAW: OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE OF A SUBJECTIVE PROCESS	533
--	-----

E

ECONOMIC DAMAGES IN A PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTION: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?	745
---	-----

J

JOINT TORTFEASOR RELEASES IN PENNSYLVANIA: WHO BENEFITS FROM THE PLAINTIFF'S BARGAIN ANYWAY?	309
---	-----

N

NONPROFIT HOSPITALS: SHOULD THEY CONTINUE TO RECEIVE A CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION TAX EXEMPTION UNDER PENNSYLVANIA LAW?	727
--	-----

P

PENNSYLVANIA'S CLEAN STREAMS LAW: THE LIABILITY IMPOSED	757
---	-----

R

<i>Roanoke</i> or <i>Rockford</i> : TWO DIVERGENT APPROACHES TO ANTITRUST ENFORCEMENT FOR NOT-FOR-PROFIT HOSPITAL MERGERS	561
--	-----

S

SHOULD PENNSYLVANIA RECOGNIZE A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR LOSS OF PARENTAL CONSORTIUM?	697
---	-----

T

THE HISTORY OF ALIMONY IN PENNSYLVANIA: A NEED FOR FURTHER CHANGE	709
THE INDIVIDUAL WORKER AND DRUG TESTING: TORT ACTIONS FOR DEFAMATION, EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND INVASION OF PRIVACY	545

THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION AND FOREIGN OWNERSHIP OF COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS IN THE UNITED STATES 295

THE TAXATION OF NOTIONAL PRINCIPAL CONTRACTS AFTER NOTICE 89-21: A CLEAR REFLECTION OF INCOME OR AN ABUSE OF THE COMMISSIONER'S DISCRETION? 515

U

USE OF THE DISCOVERY RULE IN CASES OF ALLEGED CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE: DOES THE STATE OF LIMITATIONS EVER RUN? 777

CASES NOTED

Berkovitz v. United States, 108 S. Ct. 1954 (1988) 161

Commonwealth v. Lenhart, 520 Pa. 189, 553 A.2d 909 (1989) 835

Commonwealth v. Reese, 520 Pa. 29, 549 A.2d 909 (1988) 363

Deshaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Services, 489 U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 998 (1989) 387

Florida v. Riley ___ U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 693 (1989) 327

Heath v. Church's Fried Chicken, 519 Pa. 274, 546 A.2d 1120 (1988) 195

Kirkbride v. Lisbon Contractors, 521 Pa. 97, 555 A.2d 800 (1989) 871

Mallard v. U.S. Dist. Court for Southern Dist. of Iowa, ___ U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 1814 (1989) 851

Michigan v. Chesternut, 486 U.S. ___, 108 S. Ct. 1975 (1988) 181

Mississippi Band of Choctaw Indians v. Holyfield, ___ U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 1597 (1989) 589

Pennsylvania Game Commission v. Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Resource, ___ Pa. ___, 555 A.2d 812 (1989) 347

Perry v. Leeke, 109 S. Ct. 594 (1989) 211

Sable Communications v. FCC, ___ U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 2829 (1989) 785

United States v. Sokolow, ___ U.S. ___, 109 S. Ct. 1581 (1989) ... 809