

1997

Table of Contents, Volume 26, Number 1, Fall 1997

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, Volume 26, Number 1, Fall 1997, 36 Duq. L. Rev. [iii] (1997).

Available at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol36/iss1/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

Duquesne Law Review

Volume 36, Number 1, Fall 1997

© COPYRIGHT DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY, 1997-98

Articles

- THE TRANSFORMATION THESIS OF MORTON J. HORWITZ: RESEARCH PROBLEMS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THE PRACTICE OF LIBERAL DEMOCRACY
Samuel J. Astorino 1

Morton J. Horwitz's *Transformation I* and *II* are revisionist histories of American law, written by the dean of the Critical Legal Studies Movement. The author suggests that if Horwitz's view gains wide acceptance, despite his shortcomings in research methodology, the implications for American constitutional democracy and judicial independence are daunting.

- PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT OF THE SOCIAL CONTRACT: *DESHANEY* AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT RIGHT TO OWN FIREARMS
David E. Murley 15

What is the meaning of the phrase "the right to bear arms"? The United States Supreme Court has not granted certiorari to a Second Amendment case since 1939. The author suggests that the Court's ruling in *DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dep't of Social Svcs.* provides an important insight into Second Amendment issues that should be considered by citizens, legislators, and the Court in the national debate on gun control.

- RACE AND REPRESENTATION IN JURY SERVICE SELECTION
Kurt M. Saunders 49

Individuals before the courts are entitled to present their cases to juries that are "representative of the community." The former Jury Commissioner of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania explores the history of juries, the circumstances that have acted to frustrate this entitlement, and presents his legislative proposal designed to ameliorate the present problems in selecting truly representative juries in Pennsylvania.

Comments

A SUGGESTED PROPOSAL TO APPORTION LIABILITY IN LEAD PIGMENT CASES
Robert F. Daley 79

AWASH IN SOFT MONEY AND POLITICAL CORRUPTION: THE NEED FOR
CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM
Brent A. Fewell 107

BEGINNING WITH A HEAD START: THE TRANSFER AND USE OF TAX ATTRIBUTES
BY DEBTORS IN BANKRUPTCY
Charles R. Pass, III 139

GENDER DISCRIMINATION AND THE MILITARY SELECTIVE SERVICE ACT:
WOULD THE MSSA PASS CONSTITUTIONAL MUSTER TODAY?
Leslie Ann Rowley 171

Recent Decisions

PATENT LAW — INFRINGEMENT — DOCTRINE OF EQUIVALENTS — PROSECUTION HISTORY ESTOPPEL — The Supreme Court of the United States held that the doctrine of equivalents is not inconsistent with the Patent Act; that the doctrine of equivalents is to be applied to individual elements of a patent claim as opposed to the invention as a whole; that prosecution history estoppel applies to all changes made to an application during prosecution in the form of a rebuttable presumption against the patentee that can be overcome with a sufficient showing that the change was not relevant to patentability.

Warner-Jenkinson Co., Inc. v. Hilton Davis Chem. Co., 117 S. Ct. 1040 (1997).
Charles H. Dougherty, Jr. 185

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — FIRST AMENDMENT — FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT — FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION — EQUAL PROTECTION — The Supreme Court of the United States held that, notwithstanding the First Amendment right of political parties to express the shared views and ideals of their members, states may enact statutes that ban “fusion candidacies,” provided that the statutes are a reasonable and nondiscriminatory means of furthering a legitimate state interest.

Timmons v. Twin Cities Area New Party, 117 S. Ct.

1364 (1997).

Joseph E. Haviland 207

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW — FIRST AMENDMENT — FREEDOM OF SPEECH — PRIOR RESTRAINT — The Supreme Court of the United States held that an injunction providing for a “fixed buffer zone” around an abortion clinic and a cease and desist provision are valid, however, a “floating buffer zone” surrounding clinic patients violates the protesters’ First Amendment right of free speech.

Schenck v. Pro-Choice Network of Western New York, 117 S. Ct.

855 (1997).

Michael L. Utz 229

The Duquesne Law Review is published quarterly at Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Editorial Offices: 132 The Edward J. Hanley Hall, 900 Locust Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15282-0701.

The subscription price is \$25.00 per volume. Subscription inquiries should be addressed to the Managing Editor. Subscriptions will be canceled only after the entire volume for which the subscription has been entered has been printed. Subscriptions are automatically renewed unless otherwise stipulated. Subscribers should report non-receipt of an issue within six months of its mailing. After six months, replacement issues will not be provided free of charge.

This issue is available from the Duquesne Law Review at \$15.00 per copy. Other issues are available through William S. Hein Co., Inc., 1285 Main Street, Buffalo, NY 14209, at \$8.00 per copy.

Citations conform to *The Bluebook, A Uniform System of Citation* (16th ed. 1996). Readers are invited to submit manuscripts for possible publication. Manuscripts should be directed to the Executive Article Editor. Views expressed in articles published in this law review are to be attributed solely to the authors thereof and not to the law review, its editors, or Duquesne University.

When authors of articles published herein are known to have other than a scholarly interest in their writings, that fact will be noted preceding the first numbered footnote to the article.