

Duquesne Law Review

Volume 38
Number 2 *Symposium on Approaching E-
Commerce Through Uniform Legislation:
Understanding the Uniform Computer
Information Transactions Act and the Uniform
Electronic Transactions Act*

Article 2

2000

Table of Contents, Volume 38, Number 2, Winter 2000

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, Volume 38, Number 2, Winter 2000, 38 Duq. L. Rev. [xiii] (2000).
Available at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol38/iss2/2>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

Duquesne Law Review

Volume 38, Number 2, Winter 2000

© COPYRIGHT DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY, 1999-2000

Articles:

SYMPOSIUM ON APPROACHING E-COMMERCE THROUGH UNIFORM LEGISLATION: UNDERSTANDING THE UNIFORM COMPUTER INFORMATION TRANSACTIONS ACT AND THE UNIFORM ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS ACT

THE NEW LAWS THAT WILL ENABLE ELECTRONIC CONTRACTING: A
SURVEY OF THE ELECTRONIC CONTRACTING RULES IN THE UNI-
FORM ELECTRONIC TRANSACTIONS ACT AND THE UNIFORM COM-
PUTER INFORMATION TRANSACTIONS ACT

Mary Jo Howard Dively 209

THROUGH THE LOOKING GLASS: WHAT COURTS AND UCITA SAY
ABOUT THE SCOPE OF CONTRACT LAW IN THE INFORMATION AGE

Raymond T. Nimmer 255

UNIFORM RULES FOR INTERNET INFORMATION TRANSACTIONS:
AN OVERVIEW OF PROPOSED UCITA

Carlyle C. Ring, Jr. 319

MASS MARKET TRANSACTIONS IN THE UNIFORM COMPUTER
INFORMATION TRANSACTIONS ACT

Holly K. Towle 371

WHY ARTICLE 2 CANNOT APPLY TO SOFTWARE TRANSACTIONS

Lorin Brennan 459

Comments

THE GROWTH OF PRISON PRIVATIZATION AND THE THREAT POSED BY
42 U.S.C. § 1983
David J. DelFiandra 591

SCHOOL VIOLENCE: AN INCURABLE SOCIAL ILL THAT SHOULD NOT
LEAD TO THE UNCONSTITUTIONAL COMPROMISE OF STUDENTS' RIGHTS
Timothy L. Jacobs 617

Recent Decisions

RECEIPT OF A COMPLAINT, PRIOR TO OR UNATTENDED BY FORMAL
SERVICE OF PROCESS, DOES NOT TRIGGER A DEFENDANT'S THIRTY-
DAY PERIOD TO REMOVE A CASE: *MURPHY BROTHERS, INC. V.*
MICHETTI PIPE STRINGING, INC.
D. Troy Blair 663

A SOCIAL SECURITY CLAIMANT'S STATEMENT THAT SHE IS DISABLED
AND UNABLE TO WORK DOES NOT NECESSARILY PRECLUDE A SUB-
SEQUENT ADA WRONGFUL TERMINATION CLAIM: *CLEVELAND V.*
POLICY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS CORPORATION
Jane M. Keenan 685

PRIVATE INSURERS SUSPENDING WORKERS' COMPENSATION BENEFITS
ARE NOT STATE ACTORS AND EMPLOYEE'S MEDICAL TREATMENT MUST
BE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY BEFORE DUE PROCESS ATTACHES:
AMERICAN MANUFACTURERS MUTUAL INSURANCE CO. V. SULLIVAN
Mark A. Nuzzo 705